0	1 2 3	Todd G. Glanz, Esq. (SBN: 123591) ToddGlanz@LawyersForJustice.net 2127 Olympic Parkway, Ste. 1006-110 Chula Vista, CA 91915 619-739-2001  FILED  FILED  CARL CASTROLL  FILED  CARL CASTROLL  FILED  CARL CASTROLL  FILED  CARL CASTROLL  FILED  CENTRAL DIVISION  CENTRAL DIVISION  COMPANY AND CASTROLL  COMPANY AN
	_	
	4	Attorneys For Plaintiffs  CLERK-SUPLING COUNTY, CA
	5	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
	6	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
	7	COUNTY OF SAIN DIEGO
	8	
	9	My Ty T. Luong, individually and as ) Case #: 37-2018-00043293-CU-PO-CTL
	10	Successor in Interest of the Estate of Kurt Ullrich,
	11	Hao Tran, individually and as Successor in ) PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT
	12	) DEATH
0	13	Plaintiffs, BASED ON:
	14	vs.  1. Professional Negligence/Medical
	15	) Malpractice ) 2. Negligence
	16	O. F. Chaser I - Chase
	17	individual,  4. Willful Misconduct
	18	an individual.
	_	Heidi Sattler, L.C.S.W., an individual,
	19	) DEMAND FOR JURY
	20	And DOES 1 THROUGH 50,
	21	Defendants
	22	}
	23	
	24	Plaintiffs allege on information and belief as follows:
	25	
	26	PARTIES AND JURISDICTION
_	27	1. Plaintiffs My Ty T. Luong, individually and as Successor in Interest of the Estate of Kurt
)	28	Ullrich, and Hao Tran, individually and as Successor in Interest of the Estate of Kurt Ullrich
•		and as Successor in interest of the Estate of Kurt Ullrich
	•	

-1-

(hereinaster "Plaintiss") are now and at all times mentioned herein, individuals residing in San Diego County, California.

- 2. Kaiser Permanente, a business entity, Q. F. Chacon-Lopez, M.D., MPH, an individual, Karen Olmscheid-Koerner, M.F.T./Ph.D, an individual, Heidi Sattler, L.C.S.W., an individual, and Does 1 through 50 (hereinafter "Defendant" or "Defendants") at all times mentioned were providing medical services within the county of San Diego.
- 3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that all defendants are either a licensed medical facility, corporation, or partnership, and licensed physician or licensed clinical social worker or licensed marriage and family therapist or licensed medical facility, located in the county of San Diego. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at all times herein mentioned defendants were owners, co-owners, agents, representatives, and/or employees of each other and California business entities doing business in San Diego county.
- 4. In or about June 1, 2018 Plaintiffs gave all Defendants written notice of their intention to commence this action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 364. (Exhibit 1-Proof and Receipt)
- 5. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is/are negligently and willfully and otherwise responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and that Plaintiffs' injuries and damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by that wrongdoing.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants, and each of them, including DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, were agents, contractors, employees and/or partners and/or joint venturers of each of the other defendants herein named and in doing the things as hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment, partnership, and/or joint venture, as well as for themselves.
  - 7. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants were doing business in San Diego county,

California, and DOES 1-50, and each of them, were the owners, agents, and/or employees of each other, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting in the scope of their agency and employment and with the permission and consent of each and/or all Defendants.

8. Venue lies within this District because all the transactions, occurrences, and/or treatments that gave rise to this suit and all conduct and liability that gave rise thereto arose in this District.

## **GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. In June of 2017 Kurt Ullrich, deceased (hereinafter "deceased) sought and received services from defendants for symptoms including mental disorientation and hallucinations, and severe anxiety and emotional dysfunctions.
- 10. Defendants failed to care and properly care

  for deceased, including by not attending to deceased with due diligence and released deceased
  although his symptoms were severe and risked danger, harm, and serious injuries to deceased, in
  turn leaving deceased and ultimately plaintiffs to suffer the consequences and permanent
  consequences of serious injury to deceased by his suicide as the consequence of his symptoms and
  emotional impairments that he sought care for from defendants, who did not and did not properly
  treat him for.
- 11. Defendants should have been recognized and responded to and cared for and in turn prevented the deceased from harming himself, which is the precise reason he presented himself to defendants, to prevent harm to himself.
- 12. More specifically, defendants should have admitted deceased for constant supervision and care and treatment until he was no longer a threat to himself, and should have notified plaintiffs and members of deceased's family of his condition and risk of harm to himself, thereby avoiding and preventing the consequences of deceased's suicide.
- 13. Further, defendant Kaiser Permanente should have had a sufficient number, of adequately trained, professional medical and mental health providers and treaters, to tend to plaintiff, considering the volume of patients and work that was necessary to treat patients that contracted with Kaiser Permanente for medical treatment, including mental health treatment.
  - 14. Defendants also should have and should have been adequately staffed to enable, sufficient

and serious monitoring and follow-up of deceased, to ensure his safety, considering the known or what should have been known, state and condition he was in, and for which there was a history of for which the deceased had been admitted for observation and mental treatment and care, that defendants should have known and been aware of and cared and treated deceased for.

As a result of the said negligence and wrongdoing of defendants, as herein referenced, Kurt Ullrich killed himself, resulting in the damages herein set forth.

- 15. Plaintiffs were at the time of the care of deceased, the spouse and son of deceased/
- 16. Defendants acted with gross negligence, recklessly, and in conscious disregard of the deceased and Plaintiffs' rights, safety, and/or well-being.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Negligence-Medical Malpractice Against All Defendants

- 17. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporates by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 18. Deceased formed a physician and/or health care provider-patient relationship with Defendants, and each of them.
- 19. Deceased, a patient seeking medical care, including mental health care, and in turn Plaintiffs, are individuals who would foreseeably suffer harm as a result of the category of negligent conduct more fully described herein.
- 20. As said health care including mental health care providers, Defendants owed duties to deceased and Plaintiffs,
- including to use the level of skill, knowledge, and care in treatment that other reasonably careful Said providers would use in similar circumstances, otherwise known as "the standard of care"; To use the same degree of skill and care usually exercised by said health care providers in the same or similar locality, under similar circumstances; To use reasonable diligence in the application of the said providers' learning and skill.
- 21. Defendants' treatment of deceased fell well below the standard of care due to facts including that they failed to properly staff, attend to and/or monitor and inspect

and diagnose and care for, and/or reasonably attend to and/or inspect and diagnose and monitor and care for, Plaintiff EdwardMayeski's symptoms, as referenced more fully herein, to Plaintiffs losses and damages.

- 22. Defendants as owners, agents, contractors and/or employees of each other, were acting within the course and scope of employment. Therefore, Defendants are vicariously liable for the actions and omissions of each and every agent, contractor and/or employee, of Defendants, and each of them, who committed those breaches.
- 23. Plaintiffs' injuries are not due to any action or contribution on the part of any Plaintiff, nor the deceased.
- 24. The negligence of Defendants, and each of them, was a substantial factor in causing Deceased and Plaintiffs harm, pain, emotional distress, disfigurement, shame, humiliation and related damages.
- 25. As a further proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs have incurred damages including medical expenses, lost earnings, and related expenses.
- 26. Defendants, and each of their numerous breaches of duty and negligent conduct were a substantial factor and substantial factors in causing foreseeable future medical expenses and damages.
- 27. As the direct and proximate result of the foregoing and the death of the deceased, plaintiff My Ty T. Luong has been deprived of a kind and loving spouse and of his care, comfort, society, protection, love, companionship, affection, solace, moral support, loss of marital consortium, physical assistance in the operation and maintenance of the home, and financial support.
- 28. As a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing death of the deceased, plaintiff Hao Tran has been deprived of a kind and loving parent and of his care, comfort, love, protection, advice, society, physical assistance, and financial support.
  - 29. As a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing, plaintiffs have been generally

damaged in a sum to be established according to proof, and as provided by Code of Civil Procedure sections 425.10 and 425.11.

30. As a further direct and proximate result of the death of the deceased, plaintiffs have incurred reasonable and necessary expenses for decedent's funeral, burial, and memorial services to their damage in a presently unascertained sum. Plaintiffs will seek the specific amount when it is finally determined.

31. Wherefore, plaintiffs demand judgment as set forth below.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

### Negligence Against all Defendants

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 33. At all times mentioned herein Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to the deceased and Plaintiffs of care and treatment that other reasonably careful health care providers would use under similar situations and is otherwise known as the standard of care.
- 34. But for Defendants, and each of their, said negligent treatment, including but not limited to failing to attend to and/or care for and/or diagnose and/or treat and/or monitor, as set forth herein, plaintiffs would not have suffered the injuries and/or damages and/or losses.
- 35. As a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs have been, and will be, damaged in sums according to proof at trial.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Against All Defendants

- 36. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 37. Defendants, and each of them, provide healthcare and medical services to the public. Defendants, and each of them, assumed the duty of caring for deceased when he came to have medical and related services, and each of them were to use reasonable care to

prevent emotional harm to Plaintiffs.

Defendants, and each of them, breached these duties when failed to properly meet the standards of care as set forth above.

- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants, and each of their, numerous breaches of duties, Plaintiffs suffered serious emotional distress.
- 39. Defendants, and each of them, acted with conscious and/or reckless and/or grossly negligent, disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiffs and the probability that their conduct would result in injury and serious emotional distress to Plaintiffs.
- 40. Due to the foreseeability that Plaintiffs would experience shock, nervousness, anxiety, grief, worry, humiliation, embarrassment, indignity, apprehension, fear, and terror, the Defendants, and each of them, including as members of the health care field, knew or should have known of the obvious probability that harm and serious emotional distress would result for Plaintiffs.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Willful Misconduct Against All Defendants

- 41. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 42. During the period of their care of deceased, each of the Defendants knew or should have known the perils posed to deceased and in turn Plaintiffs for their failures to comply with their duties of care to provide care that a reasonably prudent medical facility operator, physician, clinical social worker, marriage and family therapist, or other health care provider or administrator would use.
- 43. During the period of their care of deceased, each of the Defendants knew or should have known that the perils posed by their failure to comply with their standards of care to provide care that a reasonably prudent medical facility operator, physician, clinical social worker, marriage and family therapist, or other health care provider or administrator would use,

exposed deceased and in turn Plaintiffs to the probability of injuries, losses, and/or damages. During the period of their care of deceased, each of the Defendants knowingly and/or with gross negligence and/or consciously and/or recklessly disregarded the aforesaid perils and rights and safety and well-being and probability of injury to deceased and Plaintiffs, and in doing so failed to comply with their duties under the standards of care as set forth above. Certain of their willful misconduct and failures included the gross and reckless failure to attend to and diagnose and monitor deceased's symptoms and conditions, as more fully set forth above and herein, and thereby treat deceased in a manner that would arrest and/or prevent and/or heal his and Plaintiffs' pain, suffering, and injuries, and damages.

44. By virtue of the aforesaid, Defendants have acted in conscious and/or reckless and/or grossly negligent disregard of the probability of deceased and Plaintiffs' undesired and unauthorized injuries, and thereby Defendants' and each of them, purposefully and intentionally and despicably caused deceased and Plaintiffs injuries, and subjected deceased and Plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious and/or reckless and/or grossly negligent disregard of their rights and safety and well-being. By virtue of the aforesaid, Defendants have each acted with recklessness and/or oppression and/or malice, and their acts and omissions were despicable.

45. By virtue of the aforesaid, punitive damages should be assessed against Defendants and each of them, in a sum according to proof at trial.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Fiduciary Duty against All Defendants

- 46. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 47. By virtue of their "healthcare provider/patient" relationship with deceased, Defendants and each of them, had a fiduciary duty to deceased and Plaintiffs to act with the utmost good faith and in their best interests. Defendants, and each of them, breached their fiduciary duty

to deceased and Plaintiff in the ways set forth herein.

- 48. By virtue of the aforesaid, Defendants and each of them, acted grossly negligently, recklessly, oppressively, and/or intentionally in breach of their duties as healthcare providers.
  - 49. As a direct and legal result of the aforesaid, Plaintiffs were injured and damaged.
- 50. By virtue of the aforesaid, Defendants and each of them, acted despicably and with gross negligence, and/or recklessness, and/or oppression, and/or malice, and punitive damages should be assessed for that reason along with the other damages set forth in the Complaint herein.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Unfair Competition Against All Defendants

- 51. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all of the previous allegations and paragraphs contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
- 52. Defendants, and each of them, have violated the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. by committing unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business acts and practices and/or omissions. Those acts and omissions of Defendants, and each of them, were grossly negligent, and/or in conscious and/or reckless disregard of Plaintiffs and consumer rights and safety, and to the proximate injuries of Plaintiffs.
- 53. The said acts or practices and/or omissions include, but are not limited to, performing services pursuant to false and/or deceptive advertising, and/or pursuant to false and/or deceptive information provided to Plaintiff and the public and patients and customers of Defendants, and each of them, under circumstances more fully set forth herein and above. Defendants, and each of them, falsely and/or deceptively, held themselves out as competent and/or fully and unquestionably qualified to perform medical services and treatment and care, including mental health care and emergent mental health care.
- 54. Defendants were negligent and willfully failed to care and treat deceased, though falsely advertising and holding themselves out to be competent and caring.
- 55. That all the injuries and damages were caused solely and proximately by the negligence and wrongdoings as set forth, of the Defendants.

	1	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFFS pray for judgment against DEFENDANTS, and each of
$\bigcup$	2	them, as follows and according to proof:
	3	For general damages past and future;
	4	For special damages past and future, including medical expenses and lost earnings;
	5	For personal property damages according to proof;
	6	For punitive damages and as to medical malpractice on the condition of moving the Court at a
	7	future time and obtaining an order amending this Complaint accordingly;
	8	For attorneys fees;
	9	For costs of suit, including experts costs;
	10	For interest;
	11	For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.
	12	DATED: August 26, 2018
	13	Codd G. Glanz
	14	Attorney for Plaintiff
	15	
	16	
	17 18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
~	27	
	28	

- 10 -